

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,160	03/26/2004	Eric Joseph Bilskie	9596	1981	
27752	7590 04/07/2006		EXAMINER		
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			PETERSON, KENNETH E		
	TUAL PROPERTY DIVI ILL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTI	ER HILL AVENUE		3724		
CINCINNA	ГІ, ОН 45224		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				9
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/811,160	BILSKIE ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kenneth E. Peterson	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	idress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
· —	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a context and a	epted or b) objected to by the for displayments. See the or by the formula of the drawing of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 Cl	* *
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment		<u> </u>		
2) D Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160

Art Unit: 3724

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 2,10 and 17, drawn to a roll cutter having a powered cutting blade.
 - II. Claims 3,4,11,12 and 16, drawn to a roll cutter having a feed section and a discharge section.
 - III. Claims 6,7,13,14,18 and 19, drawn to a roll cutter having a the cutter attached to the axial-traversing element and the axial traversing element attached to the radial traversing element.
 - IV. Claims 8,15 and 20, drawn to a roll cutter having a material sensor.
- 2. Claims 1,5 and 9 will be examined with the election of any group. Claims 1 and 9 link the inventions of groups I-IV. The restriction requirement of the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 9. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 3. Inventions of groups I-IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. For example, the roll cutter having a powered cutting blade of group I could be employed without the sensor of group IV. Conversely, the roll cutter having a sensor of group IV would work with a non-powered cutter. See MPEP § 806.05(d). Examiner notes that claims 17-20 effectively claim combinations of the above features. However, by virtue of the other claims, Applicant has provided evidence that he does not believe the patentability lies in the combination. Accordingly, the combination claims are grouped with their respective subcombinations.
- 4. There is an excessive burden on the office to examine all of these inventions together, as shown by their search. See MPEP 808.02(C). For example, the search for group I would be in class 83, subclasses 488 and 489. The search for group II would not be as above, but would instead be in classes 198 and 414 for article handling. The other groups also have unique searches.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/811,160

Art Unit: 3724

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 571-272-

4512. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Thurs, 7:30AM-5PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KP

April 3, 2006

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5